

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,922	02/14/2001	Kari Einamo	PM 277084	1058	
909	7590 09/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP		CHO, UN C			
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WCLLAN,	VA 22102		2682		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/762,922	EINAMO, KARI			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Un C Cho	2682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a simal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appi bunt of the fee. The appi originally set in the final	see MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF).	s Brief must be filed within the po	eriod set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:			•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		A Charles China			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaries by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	it(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:			•		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:					
		~			
	•				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the applicant argued that Sanmugam failed to teach tracing signaling messages and a network element arranged to copy signaling messages related to the subscriber in response to the trace command and to send a copy to the tracer. The examiner disagrees to the arguments presented by the applicant and the reasoning is as followed: Sanmugam teaches that signaling is being traced by the home system, in other words, the home system keeps track (tracing) of all signaling (Sanmugam, Page 47 lines 19 - 22). Sanmugam also teaches copying (MSCa, keeping a record of M1s activity, reports, through signaling, the activity of M1 back to the home system) a signaling message in response to the reception of a signaling message related to the mobile station and reporting to the home system (Sanmugam, Page 48 lines 5 - 8).

The prior art teaches all the limitations as claimed, therefore, applicants arguments are deemed not persuasive.

LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER